MINISTÉRIO DO PLANEAMENTO
E INVESTIMENTO ESTRATÉGICO
IX GOVERNO CONSTITUCIONAL

Comissão Nacional de Aprovisionamento

Díli, 16 de Novembro de 2026

Publicação de ata de conferência prévia

Prezados Candidatos,

Tornamos, por este meio, pública a ata de conferência prévia referente ao processo de aprovisionamento para o projeto de **Serviços de Consultoria para o Projeto de Avaliação de riscos geológicos e geotécnicos da via do Gasoduto Bayu-Undan (GEP), Fase 3. (Nº de Concurso: TENDER-RFP/249/MPRM-2026)** que decorreu nas instalações da Comissão Nacional de Aprovisionamento no dia 10 de Novembro de 2026 pelas 4 horas.

Publication of Minutes of Pre-Proposal Conference

Dear Consultants,

We hereby publish the minutes of Pre-Proposal Conference regarding the procurement process for **Consulting Services for Bayu Undan Pipeline Highway GEP Phase 3 – Geohazard Assessment (Tender-RFP/249/MPRM-2026)** which was held at National Procurement Commission's premises on the 10th of November 2026 at 4:00 pm.

Hermingardo Albano Soares
Diretor-Executivo da CNA

Consulting Services for Bayu Undan Pipeline Highway GEP Phase 3 – Geohazard Assessment
TENDER-RFP/249/MPRM-2026

Minutes of Pre-Proposal Conference
10 November 2026

1. The Pre-Proposal Conference for the above captioned project was held at 16:00 hour's local time on 10 November 2026 at the Conference Room of the National Procurement Commission (NPC) at Avenida de Balide, Dili Timor-Leste. This meeting was attended by representatives from National Procurement Commission (NPC), Project Owner - Ministry of Petroleum and Minerals Resources and interested consultants in venue.

   a. Project Identification: **TENDER-RFP/249/MPRM-2026**
   b. Project Owner: **Ministry of Petroleum and Minerals Resources**
   c. Name of the project: **Consulting Services for Bayu Undan Pipeline Highway GEP Phase 3 Geohazard Assessment.**

2. Mr. Hermenegildo Fernandes, Procurement Officer of NPC welcomed all the attendees of the meeting and the meeting was followed by a brief presentation on the key aspects of RFP Documents. Mr. Benjamin Arnold gave the presentation about the technical requirement, scope of works and detail services of this project. The presentation slides are enclosed in **Annex-1.**

3. The questions-and-answers session of the meeting took place immediately after the presentation. The questions-and-answers through Clarification(s) will be issued within due course of time
4. The pre- proposal conference was concluded at 17:00 hour's local time. Mr. Hermengildo thanked all the participating consultants for their active participation in this pre-proposal conference.

Dili, 10 November 2026

By the jury:

Attachment:

- Annex-1- PDF file of Presentation slides

Serviços de Consultoria para o Projeto de Avaliação de riscos geológicos e geotécnicos da via do Gasoduto Bayu-Undan (GEP), Fase 3. (Nº de Concurso: TENDER-RFP/249/MPRM-2026)

ATA DE CONFERÊNCIA PRÉVIA
10 de Novembro de 2026

1. Aos 10 dias do mês de Novembro de 2026, pelas 16:00 horas, nas instalações da Comissão Nacional de Aprovisionamento (CNA) sitas em Avenida de Balide, Património do Estado, Díli, o júri reuniu com os representantes da Entidade Adjudicante – Ministério do Petróleo e Recursos Minerais (MPRM) e os candidatos para proceder à conferência prévia.

    a) Identificação dos procedimentos: Procedimento nº TENDER-RFP/249/MPRM-2026
    b) Entidade adjudicante: Ministério do Petróleo e Recursos Minerais (MPRM)
    c) Objeto dos procedimentos: **Serviços de Consultoria para o Projeto de Avaliação de riscos geológicos e geotécnicos da via do Gasoduto Bayu-Undan (GEP), Fase 3.**

2. De seguida, foi ministrada uma breve apresentação referente ao Programa de Procedimento e ao Caderno de Encargos pela Sr. Hermenegildo Fernandes, Oficial de Aprovisionamento da CNA. O Sr. Benjamin Arnold procedeu, posteriormente, com uma apresentação sobre os requisitos técnicos, o âmbito dos serviços e os serviços detalhados deste projeto. Apresentamos no **Anexo 1** os respetivos slides da apresentação.

3. A sessão de perguntas e repostas teve lugar imediatamente após as supracitadas apresentações. The questions-and-answers through Clarification(s) will be issued within due course of time.

4. Por nada mais haver a tratar, a conferência prévia foi encerrada às 17:00 horas pela Sr. Hermenegildo Fernandes que agradeceu a presença de todos os participantes na mesma.

Díli, 10 de Novembro de 2026

Pelo Júri,

- **Anexo - 1 -** Slides da apresentação

REPÚBLICA DEMOCRÁTICA DE TIMOR-LESTE
Ministério de Planeamento Investimento Estratégico
COMISSÃO NACIONAL DE APROVISIONAMENTO
Avenida de Balide, Dili, Timor-Leste. Telephone No.: 3331101

Comissão Nacional de Aprovisionamento

PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

BAYU UNDAN PIPELINE HIGHWAY GEP PHASE 3 GEOHAZARD ASSESSMENT

TENDER-RFP/249/MPRM-2026

10 November 2026; 16:00 hours

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 2.1	**Name of the Employer:** Ministry of Petroleum and Minerals Resources of the Government of the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste (RDTL**)** **Method of selection:** Quality and Cost Base Selection (QCBS) in accordance with ITC- 28.1(a)
ITC, Data sheet - 9.1	**<u>Language Requirements</u>** The Timor-Leste's official languages are Portuguese and Tetum. The preferred working language is English. Consultants must comply with the following language requirements for Proposal submission: • The main Proposal documents must be submitted in an official language of Timor-Leste (Portuguese or Tetum). These include: - TECH-1 (Technical Proposal Submission Form only) - FIN-1 (Financial Proposal Submission Form only) - Cover Letter to Correspondence on Technical Enquiries and Clarifications (see Annexure B) • In accordance with Article 19(4) of Decree-Law No. 1/2025, the following documents may be submitted in the working language (English) without translation into an official language: - Proposal Security / Proposal-Securing Declaration - Technical Proposal (Power of Attorney and TECH forms, except TECH-1) and supporting technical documentation. - Financial Proposal (FIN forms, except FIN-1). ▪ Supporting technical documentation originally written in a language other than the official languages or the working language shall be accompanied by a true translation into English for interpretation purposes. All correspondence exchanged shall be conducted in one of the official languages of Timor-Leste (Portuguese or Tetum). However, technical enquiries and clarifications may be provided in the working language (English) without translation, when accompanied by a covering message in an official language. A sample format of correspondence for technical enquiries is provided in Annexure B for reference.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 10.1	**Documents Comprising the Proposal** The Proposal shall comprise the following: **For FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSAL (FTP):** **1st Inner Envelope with the Technical Proposal:** (1) Power of Attorney to sign the Proposal (2) Proof of Legal Status and Eligibility (3) Proposal Security / Proposal-Securing Declaration (4) TECH-1 (including statement of undertaking, if required) (5) TECH-2, 2A & 2B (6) TECH-3, 3A & 3B (7) TECH-4 (8) TECH-5 (9) TECH-6A & 6B AND **2nd Inner Envelope with the Financial Proposal:** (1) FIN-1 (2) FIN-2 (3) FIN-3 (4) FIN-4 Note: • Please refer to Section 4: Proposal Forms (including the Checklist of Required Forms for Technical Proposal) for details of each form. • Proof of Legal Status establishes Consultant's legal capacity to enter into binding and enforceable contracts and may be supported by: - Certificate of incorporation - Partnerships duly organized - Universities, institutions, public sector organisations, and NGOs that are not legally incorporated shall provide other documentation that establishes legal capacity to enter into binding and enforceable contracts with the Employer (such as charter, statute, etc.).

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 12.1	**Proposal Validity** Proposals must remain valid for **180 calendar days** after the proposal submission deadline.
ITC, Data Sheet - 12.9	**Sub-contracting** Maximum allowable accumulated value of services subcontracted (as a percentage of the Accepted Contract Amount) is as follow: • 25% for National Consultant; • 35% for Non-National Consultant

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 13.1	**Clarification of Request for Proposal** For any clarification requests, please submit in writing to: • **Attention:** Mr. Hermingardo Albano Soares – Executive Director of NPC • **Street address:** Avenida de Balide, Património Estado, Díli, Timor-Leste • **City:** Dili, • **Country:** Timor-Leste • **E-mail:** gf@cna.gov.tl; • **Cc:** as@cna.gov.tl; ns@cna.gov.tl; ab@cna.gov.tl; ra@cna.gov.tl; fl@cna.gov.tl; deonisio.santos@gmail.com; mj@cna.gov.tl; david.lawson@mprm.tl Note: Requests may be submitted by email or letter; however, email is preferred. The clarification requests deadline is [21 days prior to the submission]. If the Proposal submission deadline is extended, the clarification requests deadline will not be extended unless expressly stated in the extension notice. Any clarifications and addenda will be published on the following websites: • www.cna.gov.tl • www.mof.gov.tl • www.devex.com • www2.dgmarket.com

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 15.2	**Format of Technical Proposal** The format of the Technical Proposal to be submitted is: **Full Technical Proposal (FTP)** Please refer to Checklist of Required Forms in Section 4: Proposal Forms. Submission of the Technical Proposal in a wrong format may lead to the Proposal being deemed non-responsive to the RFP Document requirements.
ITC, Data Sheet - 16.1	The Consultant shall submit a **Proposal-Securing Declaration** in the format as specified in Section 4: Proposal Forms.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 17.1	**Financial Proposal** The Financial Proposal shall be prepared using the forms provided in Section 4: Proposal Forms and must include **all costs associated with the assignment**, including: **(a) Remuneration** For **Key Experts** and **Non-Key Experts**, as per the input specified in the Technical Proposal. **(b) Other Expenses** 1) Reimbursable expenses shall be provided for Consultant personnel travel to Dili, Timor-Leste, as required for the performance of the Services. For pricing purposes, the Consultant shall allow for two (2) separate return trips to Dili for two (2) personnel, with each trip assuming a duration of two (2) full working days in Dili. Reimbursable expenses shall include all reasonable and necessary travel-related costs, including, but not limited to, international and domestic flights, accommodation, ground transportation, meals, and other travel incidentals incurred in connection with the trips. 2) The Consultant shall develop its pricing based on an indicative reimbursable expense cap of USD $50,000. However, the Consultant may propose an alternative reimbursable budget allowance where justified by its travel assumptions and approach. **(c) Provisional Sum: USD $238,889** **(d) Contingency: N/A**

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 17.1(b) / Form FIN-4	**FIN-4 – Reimbursable Expenses** Maximum total Reimbursable Expenses is equal to USD 50,000.00. The consultant is not permitted to exceed the maximum total Reimbursable Expenses. The consultant may proposed the Reimbursable Expenses equal to or less than maximum Reimbursable Expenses. Please note that Reimbursable Expenses will be evaluated as Competitive Components.

Type of Expenses, Provisional Sums and Contingency	Quantity	Unit	Currency	Unit Price	Amount
Reimbursable Expenses					
1 mission for 2 persons, indicative 2 full days in Dili, Timor-Leste.	2	per mission	USD	[Insert Figure]	[Insert Figure]
Sub-Total: Reimbursable Expenses					50,000

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE

ITC, Data Sheet - 17.1(c) / Section 4 - Form FIN-4

FIN-4 – Provisional Sums

Maximum total Provisional Sum is equal to USD 238,889.00. The consultant is not permitted to exceed the maximum total Provisional Sum. The consultant may proposed the Provisional Sum equal to or less than maximum Provisional Sum. Please note that Provisional Sum will be evaluated as Competitive Components.

Provisional Sums					
P101 - Site Response Analysis - Additional location including 1 return period	2	per location	USD	[Insert Figure]	[Insert Figure]
P102 - Slope Stability Assessment - Additional slopes - Screening assessment including 1 return period	2	per slope	USD	[Insert Figure]	[Insert Figure]
P103 - Slope Stability Assessment - Additional slopes - FE seismic analysis including 1 return period	2	per slope	USD	[Insert Figure]	[Insert Figure]
P104 - Mass Gravity Flow Modelling - Additional case	2	per case	USD	[Insert Figure]	[Insert Figure]
P105 - Probabilistic Fault Displacement Hazard Assessment (PFDHA) - Additional fault	2	per fault	USD	[Insert Figure]	[Insert Figure]
P106 - Liquefaction Assessment - Additional stratigraphy	5	per stratigraphy	USD	[Insert Figure]	[Insert Figure]
				Sub-Total: Provisional Sums	238,889
				Contingency	0
				Total: Reimbursable Expenses + Provisional Sums + Contingency	

For a Lump Sum contract, FIN-4 information is used to demonstrate the basis for the Contract ceiling amount, to calculate applicable taxes at negotiations and, if needed, to establish payments for possible additional services requested by the Employer.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 17.2.	**Price Adjustment** A price adjustment provision applies to remuneration rates: **No**
ITC, Data Sheet - 17.3	All duties, taxes, and other levies payable by the Consultant under the Contract shall be included in the rates and prices set out in the Financial Proposal submitted by the Consultant. The Consultant shall make his own due diligence to confirm the withholding tax charge that will apply to his own condition. **Information on the Bidder's tax obligations in the Employer's country can be found at:** • *https://attl.gov.tl/tax-laws/ for Domestic Tax; and* • https://customs.gov.tl/doing-business/duties-taxes/ for Import and duty taxes The Consultant shall pay local taxes without reimbursement by the Employer
ITC, Data Sheet - 17.4	**Currency of Proposal** All unit rates and prices set out in the Consultant's Financial Proposal shall be in: **United States Dollars (USD).** All payments under the Contract shall also be made in: **United States Dollars (USD).**
ITC, Data Sheet - 18.1.	Consultants shall submit their Proposals by courier mail or in person. Submission of Proposals by electronic means shall not be permitted.

IMPORTANT POINTS

Clause	
ITC. 18.2	• An authorized representative of the Consultant shall sign the original submission letters in the required format for both the Technical Proposal and, if applicable, the Financial Proposals and shall initial all pages of both. • The authorization shall be in the form of a written power of attorney attached to the Technical Proposal.
ITC. 18.3	A Proposal submitted by a Joint Venture shall be signed by all members so as to be legally binding on all members, or by an authorized representative who has a written power of attorney signed by each member's authorized representative.
ITC. 18.4	Any modifications, revisions, interlineations, erasures, or overwriting shall be valid only if they are signed or initialed by the person signing the Proposal.
ITC, Data Sheet - 18.5	**Submission of Proposals** The Consultant must submit: (a) Technical Proposal: one (1) original, two (2) copies and 1 soft copy (USB). (b) Financial Proposal: one (1) original, one (1) copy and 1 soft copy (USB).
ITC - 18.6, 18.7 and 18.8	• The original and all the copies of the Technical Proposal shall be placed inside of a sealed envelope clearly marked "**TECHNICAL PROPOSAL**", "[Name of the Assignment]", reference number, name and address of the Consultant, and with a warning "DO NOT OPEN UNTIL [INSERT THE DATE AND THE TIME OF THE TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SUBMISSION DEADLINE]." (ITC. 18.6) • The original Financial Proposal shall be placed inside of a sealed envelope clearly marked "**FINANCIAL PROPOSAL**" (ITC. 18.7) • The sealed envelopes containing the Technical and Financial Proposals shall be placed into one outer envelope and sealed (ITC . 18.8)

IMPORTANT POINTS

Clause	
ITC, Data Sheet - 18.10	Deadline and Method for the Submission of Proposals For Proposal submission purposes only, Proposals shall be submitted to the following method location or by the method specified below. **Submission Location (Physical Submission):** **TENDER BOX** **National Procurement Commission** **Rua de Balide, Patrimonio Estado** **Dili, Timor-Leste** **Submission Method (Electronic Submission): Not Applicable** Deadline for Proposal submission: Date: **09 December 2026** Time: **15:00 hours Local time** [Submission Deadline is extended to 09 December 2026 through Addendum N0.2 issued on 11 November 2026]

IMPORTANT POINTS

Clause	
ITC 20.1(Data Sheet)	**Opening of Technical Proposals** The opening of the Technical Proposal shall take place at: National Procurement Commission (NPC) Attention: Mr. Hermingardo Albano Soares – Executive Director of NPC Address: National Procurement Commission (NPC) Patrimonio Estado, Balide Floor/ Room number: N/A City: Dili ZIP Code: N/A Country: Timor-Leste **Date: 09 December 2026** **Time: 15:00 hours local time (immediately after submission)** Submission Deadline is extended to 09 December 2026 through Addendum No. 2 issued on 11 November 2026] The Price Bids will remain unopened and will be stored in the Employer safe box held in custody of the procuring entity until the specified time of their opening in accordance with ITB 34

RFP Bidder Response Guidance Notes

RFP Bidder Response Guidance Note	**Bidder Response Guidance Note – General Notes** **1. General Notes** Documents shall be submitted as searchable and bookmarked PDF files, unless otherwise specified in the RFP. Handwritten or scanned documents are not permitted, except for signatures. Documents shall be organised, file named and submitted as per the Document Index presented below. Signed documents pages must be scanned and submitted Documents shall not be password-protected, encrypted, or otherwise restricted from review, unless expressly instructed in the RFP. Documentation not submitted in the required format may, at the Employer's discretion, be considered non-responsive. In the event of any inconsistency between the requirements contained in this guidance note and the RFP, the provisions of the RFP shall prevail. The Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal documents shall be submitted separately and shall not be mixed or combined. Consultant shall ensure that the submitted files are the final versions and shall not contain draft, duplicate, superseded or unrelated documents.

IMPORTANT POINTS

RFP Bidder Response Guidance Notes

RFP Bidder Response Guidance Note	This guidance note is intended to provide bidders with a clear document structure, file naming convention, and submission format aligned with the requirements set out in the RFP. This document is provided for guidance purposes only and does not replace, amend, or override any requirement of the RFP. In the event of any inconsistency between this guidance note and the relevant RFP, the provisions of the RFP shall prevail. Certain documents required under the RFP are required post-award and are therefore not included in this Bid Submission Index. Bidders are referred to the RFP for full details of post-award submission requirements and timelines. This index applies to the soft copy (USB) submitted with the hard copy Bid in accordance with the RFP.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
RFP Bidder Response Guidance Note	**Submission File Index – Technical Proposal** **2. Bidder Submission File Index – Technical Proposal** The Bidder shall submit its Technical Bid files in the following folder structure. Items in square brackets [ ] are to be completed by the Bidder. **2.1 Level 0 – Root Folder – Technical Proposal** RFP NAME denotes the package being bid on, e.g. Geohazard Phase 3 – Bayu Undan Pipeline Highway

Folder Name	Description
RFP_[*RFP NAME*]_[*BIDDER NAME*]_Technical_Proposal	Root folder containing the technical bid submission

CLAUSE

RFP Bidder Response Guidance Note

2.2 Level 1 – Folder Contents (Document Index) – Technical Proposal

Folder: 01_Technical_Proposal

Bidders shall not include the Financial Proposal (pricing) within the Technical Proposal folder. Where material financial information related to the Bid price is contained in the Technical Proposal the Bid may be declared non-responsive.

	File Name	RFP Reference	Description	File Format
01.01	01.01_TECH- 1Technical Proposal Submission Form.pdf Plus Annex to Form TECH -1	Section 4, Form TECH-1	Technical Proposal Submission Form To be submitted in an official language of Timor-Leste (Portuguese or Tetum) as per ITC 9.1 with a copy in English.	PDF
01.02	01.02_Proposal Securing Declaration	Section 4, ITC 16.1	Written confirmation of authorisation to sign	PDF
01.03	01.03_TECH - 2 Consultant's Organization and Experience.	TECH-2	Consultant's Organization and Experience.	PDF
01.04	01.04_TECH – 2A Consultant's Organization	TECH-2A	Consultant's Organization	PDF
01.05	01.05_TECH – 2B Consultant's Experience	TECH-2B	Consultant's Experience	PDF
01.06	01.06_TECH-3 - Comments or Suggestions on the Terms of Reference and on Counterpart Staff and Facilities to be provided by the Employer.	TECH - 3	Comments or Suggestions on the Terms of Reference and on Counterpart Staff and Facilities to be provided by the Employer.	PDF
1.07	1.07 TECH – 3A On the Terms of Reference	TECH - 3A	Improvements to the Terms of Reference	PDF
1.08	1.08 TECH – 3B On Counterpart Staff and Facilities	TECH - 3B	Comments on counterpart staff and facilities to be provided by the Employer	PDF
01.09	01.09_TECH-4 - Description of the Approach, Methodology, and Work Plan for Performing the Assignment	TECH - 4	Description of the Approach, Methodology, and Work Plan for Performing the Assignment	PDF
01.10	01.10_TECH-5 - Work Schedule and Planning for Deliverables	TECH - 5	Work Schedule and Planning for Deliverables	PDF
01.11	01.11_TECH-6A - Team Composition, Assignment and Key Experts' inputs	TECH - 6A	Team Composition, Assignment, and Key Expert's	PDF

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
RFP Bidder Response Guidance Note	**Submission File Index – Financial Proposal** **3. Bidder Submission File Index – Financial Proposal** The Bidder shall submit its Financial Bid files in the following folder structure. Items in square brackets [ ] are to be completed by the Bidder. **3.1 Level 0 – Root Folder – Financial Proposal** RFP NAME denotes the package being bid on, e.g. Geohazard Phase 3 – Bayu Undan Pipeline Highway

Folder Name	Description
RFP_[*RFP NAME*]_[*BIDDER NAME*]_Financial_Proposal	Root folder containing the financial bid submission

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	3.2 Level 1 – Folder Contents (Document Index) – Financial Proposal

RFP Bidder Response Guidance Note

Folder: 02_Financial_Proposal

ID	File Name	RFP Reference	Description	File Format
02.01	02.01_Financial Proposal Submission Form	Section 4, FIN-1	Financial Proposal Submission Form To be submitted in an official language of Timor-Leste (Portuguese or Tetum) as per ITC 9.1 , with a copy in English.	PDF
02.02a	02.02_Priced Activity Sheet (Appendix to Financial Proposal)	Section 4, Appendix to Financial Proposal	Priced Activity sheet	PDF
02.02b	02.02_Priced Activity Sheet (Appendix to Financial Proposal)	Section 4, Appendix to Financial Proposal	Priced Activity sheet	XLSX
02.03	02.03_FIN - 2 Summary of Costs	Section 4, FIN-2 Proposal Forms	Summary of Costs	PDF
02.04	02.04_FIN – 3 Breakdown of Renumeration	Section 4, FIN-3 Proposal Forms	Breakdown of Renumeration	PDF
02.05	02.05_FIN – 4 Other Expenses, Provisional Sums and Contingency	Section 4, FIN-4 Proposal Forms	Other Expenses, Provisional Sums and Contingency	PDF

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
ITC, Data Sheet - 22.1	**Evaluation Criteria** The evaluation criteria, sub-criteria, and point system are specified in Section 3: Evaluation and Qualification Criteria. The minimum Technical Score required to pass is: **667 points**, out of the maximum of 1000 points. Before scoring, each Technical Proposal shall be checked against the Minimum Technical Requirements specified in Section 3: Evaluation and Qualification Criteria. A Proposal that fails to meet any one of the Minimum Technical Requirements in Phase 1A shall be rejected and not evaluated further.
ITC, Data Sheet - 28.1	The Maximum Budget for this project is **US$1,340,000** (one million three hundred and forty thousand), inclusive of all applicable taxes, expenses, and profit and reimbursable expenses and maximum provisional sum This amount includes maximum provisional sums as stated in DS-ITC 17.1 but the consultant may propose their own provisional sum. The provisional sum scope is defined in FIN4-Form of the RFP. The Employer reserves the right to disqualify any Proposal whose evaluated price exceeds the defined limit, in accordance with Article 71(2)(h) of Decree-Law No. 1/2025. Note: •The evaluated price is equal to the Total Proposal price including provisional sums.

Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

Phase 1A: Minimum Technical Requirements				
No.	Subject	Requirement	Compliance (Yes/No)	Document to evaluate
1	Geohazard Assessment Experience	Demonstrable experience delivering offshore pipeline geohazard assessment, including continental slope instability assessment, for at least 1 major offshore pipeline project.		TECH-2B
2	Geohazard Assessment Capability	Demonstrated experience integrating Geophysical survey data, UHR Seismic data, Geotechnical Investigation data, Laboratory Testing results and Pipeline GIR information into geohazard assessments and ground models.		TECH-2B
3	SoW Compliance	Technical Submission in compliance with the Scope of Work, including execution methodology, project schedule, resource allocation and organisation chart.		TECH-4, TECH-5, TECH 6A, TECH-6B
4	Geohazard Reporting	Demonstrated experience in producing geohazard assessment reports and associated reporting deliverables for offshore pipeline projects.		TECH-2A, TECH-2B
5	Organisation	Evidence that the bidder's company has a minimum of 10 years relevant experience in offshore geohazard assessments for offshore pipeline projects.		TECH-2A, TECH-2B

Continue …….Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

Phase 1B: Technical Proposal Evaluation					
No.	Criteria	Max Points	Rating	Score	Source of Information
I. Qualification of the Firm		**60**			
1	The consultant has successfully completed at least 2 similar projects in Consulting Services contracts, each with a magnitude value of USD $1,000,000 within the last Ten (10) years - from 1st January 2017 to the submission deadline. The projects must be similar in scope to those described in the TOR and must have been undertaken as the Lead Firm or JV Partner. In the case of a JV, only the Consultant's share of participation in the contract value will be considered.	40			TECH-2B
2	Experience in at least 2 Consulting Services projects in Timor-Leste, or 3 Consulting Services projects in Southeast Asia, or 5 Consulting Services projects in other developing countries.	20			TECH-2B
II. Technical Competences		**660**			
1	Relevant experience delivering offshore pipeline geohazard assessments and detailed design projects for subsea pipeline developments.	70			TECH-2B
2	Demonstrated understanding of the Scope of Work and comprehensive execution methodology, including interfaces, assumptions, workflow and deliverables.	60			TECH-4
3	Approach to development and integration of the Phase 3 Ground Model, including integration of Geotechnical survey data, Geotechnical Laboratory test results and Pipeline GIR information.	70			TECH-4
4	Demonstrated methodology for undertaking site-specific seismic response analysis and development of seismic input parameters.	40			TECH-4
5	Demonstrated methodology for static, pseudo-static and seismic slope stability assessment of the pipeline corridor.	50			TECH-4
6	Demonstrated methodology for assessment and modelling of mass gravity flow hazards and potential pipeline interaction.	50			TECH-4
7	Demonstrated methodology for fault displacement hazard and liquefaction assessment and evaluation of potential impacts on the pipeline system.	30			TECH-4
8	Demonstrated capability to provide technical support for the execution of Heavy Geotechnical survey, Geohazard sampling, Core Logging activities, Offshore Laboratory testing programmes and Geohazard assessment activities.	40			TECH-4
9	Comprehensive methodology for the geohazard risk assessment and pipeline routing recommendations.	60			TECH-4
10	Demonstrated QA/QC procedures including technical reviews, verification processes, document control and records management.	40			TECH-4
11	Demonstrated capability to produce reports in accordance with the activities and requirements specified in the Scope of Work (SOW).	40			TECH-4
12	Detail proposed schedule and delivery strategy for the Scope of Work (SoW).	50			TECH-5 & TECH-4 & TECH-6A
13	Defined methodology for incorporation of Phase 1 and Phase 2 Geohazard results/models/outputs into Phase 3	60			TECH-4 & TECH-6A
III. Key Experts		**280**			
1	Project Manager	40			TECH-6B
2	Senior Geophysical Specialist Lead	60			TECH-6B
3	Senior Geotechnical Specialist Lead	60			& TECH-6B
4	MGF modelling Specialist	60			TECH-6B
5	Senior Geohazard Risk Assessment Specialist	60			TECH-6B

Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

The evaluation (rating and/or scoring) shall be in accordance with the following table:

Quality	Rating	Definition
EXCELLENT / DIRECTLY APPLICABLE	**95-100%**	Proposal significantly exceeds the sub-criteria requirements.
GOOD / VERY RELATED	**85-94%**	Proposal exceeds the sub-criteria requirements.
ABOVE AVERAGE / RELATED	**75-84%**	Proposal marginally exceeds the sub-criteria requirements.
AVERAGE / MEETS REQUIREMENTS	**67-74%**	Proposal meets the sub-criteria requirements.
BELOW AVERAGE / ADEQUATE	**1-66%**	Proposal meets some of the sub-criteria requirements with deficiencies.
POOR / BARELY ADEQUATE	**0%**	Proposal either does not comply with the sub-criteria requirements or does not provide enough information.
Scoring		
Score = Max Points x Rating		

Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

The following shall be considered for the evaluation of Evaluation Criteria III Key Experts:

Personnel Sub-Criteria									
No.	Position / Area of Expertise	Name	**A**		**B**		**C**		**Total Score (A+B+C)**
			General Qualifications		Project Related Experience		Experience in Timor-Leste or similar region		
			20%		**75%**		**5%**		
			Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	
1	Project Manager								
2	Senior Geophysical Specialist Lead								
3	Senior Geotechnical Specialist Lead								
4	MGF modelling Specialist								
5	Senior Geohazard Risk Assessment Specialist								

Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

The following shall be considered for the evaluation of Evaluation Criteria III Key Experts:

	Zero (0%) rating resulting in disqualification will be given to a nominated expert in any of the following particular circumstances:	**Reference**
1	The expert is proposed for a national position but is not a citizen of that country of the expert failed to state his citizenship on the CV	TECH-6
2	The expert's CV is not signed.	TECH-6
3	The CV is not in the required format	TECH-6
4	The expert is a current employee of the Employer	ITC 6.3 or TECH-6
5	The Consultant and the expert failed to disclose any situation of an actual or potential conflict of interest about the expert.	ITC 3
6	The expert is also nominated in other proposal (s)/assignment(s) and the timing of the input is in conflict with the schedule of the other proposal(s)	ITC 3

Financial and Combined Proposals Evaluation:

To determine the Financial Score (FS), the Financial Proposal with the lowest evaluated price (X) will be assigned a score of 1000. All other Financial Proposals will be scored in inverse proportion using the following formula:

FS = 1000 x (X / Z)

Where:
FS = Financial Score for the Proposal being evaluated
X = Price of the Financial Proposal with the lowest evaluated price
Z = Price of the Proposal being evaluated

Note: The evaluated price of each Financial Proposal is determined by:

- adjusting for arithmetical errors, if any, in accordance with ITC 25;
- The evaluated price is equal to the Total Proposal price including provisional sums (Refer to Addendum No.2)

Proposals will then be ranked based on their Overall Combined Score, calculated using the following formula:

Overall Combined Score = (Technical Score x TW) + (Financial Score x FW)

Where:
TW = Technical Weight (70%)
FW = Financial Weight (30%)

Determination of Award:

The Consultant's Proposal with the highest Adjusted Overall Combined Score would be ranked first and recommended for award as the Most Advantageous Proposal, subject to negotiations, post-qualification, compliance with legal and contractual requirements, and relevant public interest considerations.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
Conditions of Contract	**GCC & SCC 14.1 Contract Period (Expiration of Contract)** The time period shall be **24 months** after the effective date of Contract. **GCC & SCC 39.1 and 39.2 (Taxes and Duties)** The Employer warrants that the Employer will deduct all applicable taxes on behalf of the Consultant, the Sub-Consultants and the Experts, and any local indirect taxes and other local taxes, duties, fees, levies, and other impositions imposed, under the applicable law in the country.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
Conditions of Contract	**SCC 24.1 – Insurance Coverage:** The insurance coverage against the risks shall be as follows: a) Professional liability insurance, with a minimum coverage of 100% of the total ceiling amount of the Contract; b) Third Party motor vehicle liability insurance in respect of motor vehicles operated in the RDTL by the Consultant, its Experts or Sub-Consultants, with a minimum coverage of USD 200,000; c) Third Party liability insurance, with a minimum coverage of USD 200,000.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
Conditions of Contract	**GCC & SCC 41.2** The payment schedule: Payments shall be made in accordance with the Payment Terms and notes presented in the. Pricing Sheet issued to Consultant. The payment terms and conditions will be negotiated and finalize with the winning consultant. Note: Total sum of all instalments shall not exceed the Contract price set up in SCC 38.1.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
Conditions of Contract	**GCC & SCC 41.2.1 Advance Payment** **GCC 41.2.1:** Unless otherwise indicated in the SCC, an advance payment is made against an advance payment bank guarantee acceptable to the Employer, in the form set out in Appendix D or another form approved in writing by the Employer. The advance payment is set off in equal portions against the Lump Sum installments. **SCC 41.2.1: -Advance Payment -Not Applicable.** Accordingly, the advance payment provision of GCC 41.2.1 does not apply to this Contract, and no advance payment guarantee is required.

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
Conditions of Contract	**GCC 41.2.2 & 41.2.3 Lump Sum Installments and Final Payment** **GCC 41.2.2 – Lump Sum installment payments:** The Employer shall pay the Consultant within 60 days after receiving the deliverable(s) and the cover invoice for the related installment. Payment can be withheld if the Employer does not approve the deliverable(s) as satisfactory, in which case the Employer provides comments within the same 60-day period; the Consultant then promptly makes the necessary corrections and the process is repeated. **GCC 41.2.3 – Final payment:** Made only after the Consultant has submitted, and the Employer has approved as satisfactory, the final report; the Services are then deemed completed and finally accepted. The last Lump Sum installment is deemed approved for payment within 60 calendar days after the Employer receives the final report, unless the Employer, within that period, gives written notice specifying in detail the deficiencies in the Services and the final report. The Consultant then promptly makes the necessary corrections and the process is repeated. Installments are paid against the deliverables in Appendix A, following the payment schedule in SCC 41.2. Total payments shall not exceed the Contract price (GCC 41.1).

IMPORTANT POINTS

CLAUSE	
Conditions of Contract	**GCC & SCC 45.1 (Dispute Resolution)** Institution whose arbitration procedures shall be used: Contracts with foreign Consultants: International arbitration shall be conducted in accordance with the rules of Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC). Arbitration shall be administered by SIAC shall be the institution to administer the arbitration. The place of arbitration shall be: the place of the institution administering the arbitration.

THANK YOU

Question & Answer Session

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Bayu-Undan Pipeline Highway
Phase 3 Geohazard Assessment
TENDER-RFB/249/MPRM-2026

Pre-Bid Meeting – Technical Presentation

10th November 2026

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Agenda

- Project Background
- Detailed Marine Survey
- Phase 3 Geohazard Assessment Scope of Work
- Work Breakdown Structure
- Terms of Reference
- Consultant Technical Qualification
- Bid Evaluation Methodology
- Indicative Project Schedule
- Employer Priorities
- Questions

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Project Background

The Bayu-Undan Pipeline Highway Project will establish an ultra-deepwater gas export pipeline from the Bayu-Undan offshore area to Natarbora on the south coast of Timor-Leste, crossing the Timor Trough

The pipeline will connect the Bayu-Undan Offshore Platform Facilities to an Onshore LNG Terminal, with capacity for future tie-ins from adjacent fields.

4


Route Options

~250 km

Approximate Pipeline Length

~2600 m

Maximum Water Depth



MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Detailed Marine Survey

- The Employer is executing a series of contracts, collectively titled the Detailed Marine Survey (DMS) programme. The DMS includes geophysical and seismic surveys, light and heavy geotechnical surveys, metocean survey, onshore laboratory testing, and geohazard assessments.
- **This RFP covers the Phase 3 Bayu-Undan Pipeline Highway Geohazard Assessment, which integrates the DMS geophysical, seismic and geotechnical data with Phase 2 geohazard outputs to provide the FEED geohazard basis for route selection and pipeline design.**

DMS Work Packages	
Scope	**Contractor**
Geophysical & 2DUHR Seismic Surveys	Fugro
Light Geotechnical Survey	PT Taka Hydrocore
Heavy Geotechnical Survey	Fugro
Metocean Survey	Fugro
Phase 2 Pipeline Highway Geohazard Assessment	RINA
Phase 3 Pipeline Highway Geohazard Assessment	***RFP issued to market***
Pipeline Highway Heavy Geotechnical Onshore Laboratory Testing	*RFB issued to market*

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS


Phase 3 Geohazard Assessment Scope of Work

Objective: Deliver the FEED geohazard basis for pipeline route selection and design

Consultant scope:

- Support to Employer during heavy geotechnical fieldwork, geohazard core logging and laboratory testing
- Development of the Phase 3 (FEED) ground model and geohazard analyses: site response analysis, slope stability assessment, mass gravity flow modelling, probabilistic fault displacement hazard assessment and liquefaction assessment
- Geohazard Interpretative Report (GHIR) and FEED Geohazard Assessment Report with risk register

Detailed breakdown on the next slide.



MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Work Breakdown Structure

WBS ID	Work Pack Description
100	**BU Pipeline Highway / Phase 3 Geohazard Assessment**
101	Geotechnical fieldwork support
102	Geohazard workshop review sessions
103	Geohazard core logging support
104	Phase 3 Ground Model
105	Site response analysis
106	Slope stability assessment
107	Mass gravity flow modelling
108	Probabilistic fault displacement Hazard Assessment (PFDHA) – if required
109	Liquefaction assessment
110	Geohazard Interpretative Report (GHIR)
111	Phase 3 (FEED) Geohazard Assessment Report

Support and Interface Activities (WP101–103)

- Office-based support to the Employer during the heavy geotechnical survey, including review of survey plans and data
- Review and confirmation of the Phase 2 proposed geotechnical and geohazard core locations
- Responding to geohazard-related technical queries arising during the heavy geotechnical campaign
- Minimum of four geohazard workshops aligned to key Phase 3 stages
- Core logging support: review and confirmation of geohazard lab test intervals and test plan

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Terms of Reference

The requirements for the scope of work are defined in the RFB Terms of Reference:

Document No.	Rev	Title
1_214299-PL-SOW-021	**0**	**BU Pipeline Phase 3 Geohazard Assessment SOW**
2_214299-PL-SOW-019	0	BU Phase 2 Geohazard Assessment SOW
3_214299-PL-SOW-011	2	Geophysical & Environmental Baseline Survey SOW
4_214299-PL-SOW-012	2	2D Ultra-High Resolution Seismic Survey SOW
5_214299-PL-SOW-015	3	Lot 2 – Heavy Geotechnical Survey SOW
6_STS-HWY-SUR-SOW-000001	3	Bayu-Undan Heavy Geotechnical Onshore Laboratory Testing SOW
7_214299-PL-SPE-001	2	Detailed Marine Survey Specification
8_214299-PL-DWG-002	1	Marine Survey Drawings - Pipeline Highway

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Contractor Technical Qualification

The following Employer Minimum Technical Requirements (**PASS/FAIL Criteria**) apply to all Tender submissions (RFB Section 3, Phase 1A):

No.	Subject	Requirement
1	Geohazard assessment experience	Demonstrable experience delivering offshore pipeline geohazard assessment, including continental slope instability assessment, for at least 1 major offshore pipeline project.
2	Geohazard assessment capability	Demonstrated experience integrating geophysical survey data, UHR seismic data, geotechnical investigation data, laboratory testing results and pipeline GIR information into geohazard assessments and ground models.
3	SoW Compliance	Technical Submission in compliance with the Scope of Work, including execution methodology, project schedule, resource allocation and organisation chart.
4	Geohazard Reporting	Demonstrated experience in producing geohazard assessment reports and associated reporting deliverables for offshore pipeline projects.
5	Organisation	Evidence that the bidder's company has a minimum of 10 years relevant experience in offshore geohazard assessments for offshore pipeline projects.

Failure to meet any of the above requirement renders the bid non-responsive and it will not proceed to technical scoring.

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Bid Evaluation Methodology

Proposals will be evaluated in accordance with RFP Section 3 – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, using a two-envelope process. The Most Advantageous Proposal will be determined by combined technical and financial score.

Step 1 – Qualification (PASS/FAIL)

- Proposals assessed against the Minimum Technical Requirements (RFP Section 3, Phase 1A) presented on the previous slide.
- Failure to meet any requirement renders the Proposal non-responsive; it will not proceed to technical scoring.

Step 2 – Technical Evaluation

- Proposals passing Phase 1A are scored against the criteria in RFP Section 3, Phase 1B (Technical Proposal evaluation and Key Expert sub-criteria).
- Maximum Technical Score = 1000
- Minimum Technical Passing Score = 667 (2/3 of maximum)
- Financial Proposals are opened only for bidders scoring 667 or above.

Step 3 – Combined Evaluation

- Financial Score (FS) = 1000 × (lowest evaluated price ÷ bidder's evaluated price)
- Overall Combined Score = (Technical Score × 70%) + (Financial Score × 30%)
- The Proposal with the highest Adjusted Overall Combined Score is the Most Advantageous Proposal, subject to negotiation

Technical Evaluation Weighting (RFP Section 3, Phase 1B)

Ref	Evaluation Criteria	Weight	%
PHASE 1B-I	Qualification of the firm	60	6%
PHASE 1B-II	Technical Competences	660	66%
PHASE 1B-III	Key Experts	280	28%
	Total	**1000**	**100%**

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Indicative Project Schedule

Pipeline Highway dates based on the current DMS schedule, subject to change:

Activity	Item	Start	End / Due
Geophysical Survey	Geophysical Final Report	-	Apr 2027
	2DHRS Final Report	-	Apr 2027
Light Geotechnical Survey	Light Geotechnical Factual Report	-	Jun 2027
	Pipeline Routing GIR	-	Jun 2027
Heavy Geotechnical Survey	Heavy Geotechnical Field Work	Apr 2027	Sep 2027
	Heavy Geotechnical Final Field Report	-	Nov 2027
Heavy Geotechnical Laboratory Testing	Onshore lab testing (*TBC – tender ongoing*)	First sample batch delivery ~ May 2027	Final sample batch delivery ~ Oct 2027
	Geotechnical Factual Report Geohazard Core Logging & Testing Report	-	TBC
Phase 2 Geohazard Assessment	PSHA report	-	Apr 2027
	Pre-FEED Geohazard Assessment Report	-	Jul 2027

Onshore laboratory testing for the Heavy Geotechnical Survey is subject to an ongoing tender.

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Phase 3 Geohazard Assessment – Employer Priorities

The Phase 3 Geohazard Assessment will confirm and refine the Bayu-Undan Pipeline Highway route and provide the geohazard basis for pipeline design. The Employer's priorities are to:

- Deliver a clear, robust assessment of geohazard risk across all route options that the Employer can utilise to confirm the route and inform pipeline design.
- Ensure work is high quality, builds on the previous phase, and is undertaken using good industry practice, fully in accordance with contractual requirements.
- Work collaboratively and transparently with the Employer, with all interfaces to other contractors managed through the Employer, raising issues, risks and data gaps early.

MINISTÉRIO DO PETRÓLEO E RECURSOS MINERAIS

Thank you for your time

Any questions?